

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2002) KLR VOL 9-10 PART 147 pp. 2859-3020

SEPTEMBER - OCTOBER 2002

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

SEPTEMBER

1. Adenle v. Olude p. 2859
2. Egesimba v. Onuzuruike p. 2877
3. Okekearu v. Tanko p. 2929
4. Onwuchekwa v. Ezeogu p. 2939
5. Onwuka v. Maduka p. 2951
6. Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

OCTOBER

1. Jonason Triangles Ltd v. Charlesmoh & Partners Ltd p. 3001
2. Keyamo v. House of Assembly Lagos State p. 3015

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 9-10 KLR**

ACTIONS - Evidence - Number of witness - All parties to an action must not give evidence - As parties are free to pick witnesses - They think can give cogent evidence in proof of their case (H3) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Supreme Court does not interfere - Unless such findings are perverse - Or an error of substantive or procedural law was perpetrated (H2) Okekearu v. Tanko p. 2929

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Where such findings are unimpeachable - Supreme Court will not interfere (H3) Jonason Triangles Ltd v. Charlesmoh & Partners Ltd p. 3001

APPEALS - Court - Decision - Interference - Court of Appeal rightly refused to interfere with decision of trial judge - More so as appellants have not shown that the court was wrong (H2) Jonason Triangles Ltd v. Charlesmoh & Partners Ltd p. 3001

APPEALS - Fresh issue - Raised without leave - Validity - Fresh issue of inadequacy of defendant's pleadings - Cannot be raised in Supreme Court (H7) Egesimba v. Onuzuruike p. 2877

APPEALS - Land law - Visit to locus - Findings - Where parties were given equal opportunity at the locus to prove their case - Appellate court will not interfere with findings arising therefrom (H6) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

APPEALS - Record of appeal - Binding nature - Appellate court must read and interpret record in its exact form - As it has no jurisdiction to read same out of context (H7) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

ARBITRATION - Customary arbitration - Ingredients - Essential characteristics of the arbitration are inter alia - Voluntary submission of dispute - And agreement by parties that decision therefrom is binding (H6) Egesimba v. Onuzuruike p. 2877

COURT PROCESSES - Originating summons - Absence of affidavit - Effect - Without verification by affidavit evidence of facts alleged -

The summons is incompetent and liable to be struck out (H1) Keyamo v. House of Assembly Lagos State p. 3015

COURTS - Action - Hearing - It is the duty of judge to facilitate the hearing - Of action pending before him (H1) Jonason Triangles Ltd v. Charlesmoh & Partners Ltd p. 3001

COURTS - Case law - Citation - Court does not confine itself to authorities cited by parties - As it can in an effort to improve its judgment - Rely on authorities not cited by parties (H10) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

COURTS - Evidence - Handwriting - Determination - It is not unusual for courts to form their opinion as to handwriting - By comparing genuine specimen with disputed one (H3) Adenle v. Olude p. 2859

COURTS - Issues - Determination - Basis - In resolution of issues raised in a case - Court must take into account - Relevant evidence placed before it - And also relevant provisions of Evidence Act (H2) Adenle v. Olude p. 2859

COURTS - Joinder of party - Application to strike out - Competence - Since court lacks jurisdiction to review its decision - Application to strike out name of party earlier added - Is incompetent (H3) Onwuka v. Maduka p. 2951

COURTS - Judgments - Review - Instances - Court lacks jurisdiction to review its decision - Except when inter alia the decision is a nullity by breach of procedure - Or when judgment was given in default (H1) Onwuka v. Maduka p. 2951

CUSTOMARY LAW - Customary courts - Proceedings - The courts are not bound to follow strict and technical rules of evidence (H12) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

CUSTOMARY LAW - Spokesman - Status - Spokesman is representative of community - And is usually appointed to present their case -

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 9-10 KLR**

Before customary court (H4) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - Court evaluating evidence given at trial - Acts within its responsibilities if it attaches no weight - To bare assertions of a party (H5) Egesimba v. Onuzuruike p. 2877

EVIDENCE - Pleadings - Fraud - Proof - Defendant has burden to prove the element of forgery - Otherwise same will not be accepted (H1) Adenle v. Olude p. 2859

FAIR HEARING - Fundamentality of - Breach of fair hearing nullifies the whole proceedings - No matter how well conducted (H1) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2979

FAIR HEARING - Principle - Test - The test is whether justice was done - From the impression of a reasonable person - Present at the trial (H2) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

JUDGMENTS - Dissenting judgment - Status - Dissenting judgment however powerful - Is not the judgment of court - And is therefore not binding (H9) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

JUDGMENTS - Issues - Determination - Obiter dictum - Effect - Such dictum does not decide live issues in a matter - But it is ratio decidendi that decides (H8) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

LAND LAW - Acquisition - Proof - Appellant has burden to prove that he acquired land on Kaduna Street - And that the land in dispute is that land (H2) Onwuchekwa v. Ezeogu p. 2939

LAND LAW - Identity of land - Issue of identity of land arises - Where defendant raises same in statement of defence - By specifically disputing the location as described in statement of claim (H4) Adenle v. Olude p. 2859

LAND LAW - Identity of land - Proof - Where boundaries of land is in dispute - Plaintiff who relies on a plan must show that same - Corresponds with the area in dispute (H5) Adenle v. Olude p. 2859

LAND LAW - Identity of land - Survey plan - Proof - Plaintiff has onus to show that his plan corresponds with the land - To which he lays claim (H3) Onwuchekwa v. Ezeogu p. 2939

LAND LAW - Sale - Effect - Since the disputed land was validly granted to respondent - The same cannot be subsequently granted to appellant (H6) Adenle v. Olude p. 2859

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Respondent being able to show extent and location of the disputed land - Proved that he has better title to the land (H7) Adenle v. Olude p. 2859

LAND LAW - Visit to locus - Purpose - The visit is conducted to bring to the fore - Evidence of both parties without bias - And it gives parties opportunity to show court important boundaries (H5) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

ORDERS OF COURT - Joinder of party - Correctness of - A person made party by order of court is properly joined - Until such an order has been set aside by higher court (H2) Onwuka v. Maduka p. 2951

PARTIES - Actions - Proof - Party can rely on relevant authorities to prove his case - And needs not wait to know how case of adverse party is proved (H11) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

PLEADINGS - Binding nature of - Appellant is bound by his pleadings - And evidence which goes outside his pleadings is inadmissible (H1) Onwuchekwa v. Ezeogu p. 2939

PLEADINGS - Issues - Joinder of - Where last pleading filed is statement of defence - Without a counterclaim - Joinder of issues is implied thereon (H3) Egesimba v. Onuzuruike p. 2877

PLEADINGS - Statement of defence - Issue - Proof - Plaintiff is entitled to lead evidence on a point - Raised in defendant's pleading (H4) Egesimba v. Onuzuruike p. 2877

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 9-10 KLR**

PLEADINGS - Statement of defence - Reply - Failure to file - Failure to rebut averment in statement of defence - Which does not contain counterclaim - Is not tantamount to an admission (H1) Egesimba v. Onuzuruike p. 2877

RULES OF COURT - Pleadings - Reply - Filing - H.C. Rules of Eastern Nig O.33 r.1 - Where court did not order reply to be filed - Plaintiff who considers a reply to statement of defence essential - May apply for leave (H2) Egesimba v. Onuzuruike p. 2877

TORTS - Battery - Basis - An act does not amount to battery - Unless it is done either intentionally or negligently (H1) Okekearu v. Tanko p. 2929

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Anambra State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 1988, O. 3 rr. 7, 10 Onwuka v. Maduka p. 2951

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, s. 33 Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 36 Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

Customary Court Rules 1978, O. 9, O. 10 rr. 3(1) and 4 Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

Evidence Act Cap. 62 LFN 1958, s. 58 Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

Evidence Act Cap. 68, s. 73(1)(2) Orugbo v. Rauna p. 2963

High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules (Edict No. 7) Cross Rivers State 1987, O. 37 r. 10 Jonason Triangles Ltd v. Charlesmoh & Partners Ltd p. 3001

High Court Rules of Eastern Nigeria Cap 61 Laws of Eastern Nigeria 1963) O. 33, rr. 1 and 16 Egesimba v. Onuzuruike p. 2877

RSC of England, O. 28 Keyamo v. House of Assembly Lagos State p. 3015